which then have a long term effect upon General fund requirements of state government. In other words, we are being boxed into the position, in many case, of nongeneral funds, both federal and nonfederal, being utilized to start a program which then is...eventually the nongeneral funds run out and the state is left with the requirement to continue the program because of the clientele developed and we are required to use general funds. So what this bill would do is give us an opportunity to review, and in the case of the Governor, to approve the use of those nongeneral funds by state agencies which would later require the use of general funds. That is, in short, my explanation of the bill. If there are any specific questions, I would be happy to try to answer them. I move for advancement of the bill.

PRESIDENT: The question is, shall the bill be advanced? Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay, 21 not voting.

PRESIDENT: LB 442 advances as amended. Mr. Clerk, we will move to LB 678.

CLERK: LB 678 introduced by Senator Nichol of the 48th District. Read title. Now, Mr. President, there are Committee amendments by Senator Kremer's Public Works Committee.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: The original bill had to do with the repeal of the section of the statutes that had to do with the posting of bridges for weight capacity. The Committee adopted an amendment that was brought to them and, in essence, it struck out some obsolete material and also provided that in bridges that were not inspected under the federal aid secondary system should be inspected by the county and it stipulates that the county board shall designate a registered professional engineer to supervise this verification as to the capacity of bridges. Now there has been some opposition to the Committee amendment and I will move for the adoption of the Committee amendment to the Committee amendment but I will move for the adoption of the Committee amendment and then you can proceed as proper, if maybe he wants to offer his amendment.

CLERK: Now, Mr. President, there is an amendment to the Committee amendment offered by Senator Nichol. Read amendment. See page 578, Journal.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, I think we are agreed on the amendment to the amendment and what happened was that a simple bill that had to do with deletion with some of the old laws and since this state adopted the Rules of the Road in 1973, these laws should have been repealed at the time, but what happened was an amendment was put in, or partially the